

250 Jaquar Trail Ridgeland, SC 29936

Grades 9-12 High School **Enrollment** 543 Students

PrincipalCassandra N. Jennings843-717-1500SuperintendentVashti K. Washington843-717-1100Board ChairKathleen Snooks843-726-8063

2012 REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD YFAR ABSOLUTE RATING GROWTH RATING 2012 At-Risk At-Risk 2011 At-Risk Below Average 2010 At-Risk At-Risk 2009 Good At-Risk 2008 Excellent N/A

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF HIGH SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS					
Excellent	Excellent Good Average Below Average At-Risk				
6	3	23	4	3	

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 11/14/2012.

High School Assessment Program (HSAP) Exam Passage Rate: Second Year Students						
	Our	High Sch	nool		Schools ents Like	
Percent	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012
Passed 2 subtests (%)	47.2%	50.8%	52.4%	64.9%	64.2%	67.6%
Passed 1 subtest (%)	27.3%	27.4%	27.2%	18.1%	19.6%	18.2%
Passed no subtests (%)	25.6%	21.8%	20.4%	17.5%	16.2%	14.2%

HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2012		
	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	79.9%	87.4%

Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate						
	Our Higl	h School	High Schools with	Students Like Ours		
	2011*	2012	2011	2012		
Number of Students in Four-Year Cohort	119	202	156	173		
Number of Graduates in Cohort	91	126	109	124		
Rate	76.5%	62.4%	69.7%	72.0%		

^{*}Used to calculate current ESEA/Federal Accountability Grade.

Five-Year Graduation Rate					
	Our Higl	h School	High Schools with	Students Like Ours	
	2011	2012	2011	2012	
Number of Students in Cohort	158	120	162	179	
Number of Graduates in Cohort	113	93	111	133	
Rate	71.5%	77.5%	68.2%	76.1%	

End of Course Tests		
Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours*
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	17.3%	62.0%
English 1	38.5%	51.4%
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	46.5%	61.7%
US History and the Constitution	22.1%	32.5%
All Tests	28.8%	49.3%

^{*} High Schools with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this school.

School Profile

Retention rate	School Profile	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School		
Attendance rate 97.9% Down from 99.7% 94.6% 95.3% Served by gifted and talented program N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV	Students (n=543)				,		
Served by gifted and talented program N/AV	Retention rate		Down from 9.3%	3.8%			
With disabilities other than speech N/AV	Attendance rate						
N/AV	Served by gifted and talented program						
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses 0.6% Down from 1.3% 1.2% 0.9% Enrolled in AP/IB programs 3.1% Down from 3.2% 5.8% 13.4% Successful on AP/IB exams N/A N/A 20.2% 50.9% Eligible for LIFE Scholarship 25.0% Up from 22.3% 28.7% 30.1% Annual dropout rate 1.5% Down from 2.2% 2.3% 2.3% Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 2.9% No Change 5.6% 2.7% Students participating in work-based experiences 366 Down from 397 283 395 Students participating in work-based experiences 2.5% Down from 44.6% 4.8% 7.4% Career/technology students attaining technical skills 76.5% Up from 74.6% 81.3% 84.0% Career/technology completers placed 100.0% No Change 97.5% 98.4% Teachers (n=37) Teachers with advanced degrees 51.4% Up from 50.0% 61.1% 63.2% Continuing contract teachers	With disabilities other than speech		122.22				
Down from 1.3% 1.2% 0.9% 0.9% 1.2% 0.9%	Older than usual for grade	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV		
Successful on AP/IB exams N/A N/A N/A 20.2% 50.9% Eligible for LIFE Scholarship 25.0% Up from 22.3% 28.7% 30.1% Annual dropout rate 1.5% Down from 2.2% 2.3% 2.3% Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 2.9% No Change 5.6% 2.7% Enrollment in career/technology courses 366 Down from 397 283 395 Students participating in work-based experiences 2.5% Down from 44.6% 4.8% 7.4% Career/technology students attaining technical skills 76.5% Up from 74.6% 81.3% 84.0% Career/technology completers placed 100.0% No Change 97.5% 98.4% Teachers with advanced degrees 51.4% Up from 74.6% 81.3% 84.0% Career/technology completers placed 10.0% No Change 97.5% 98.4% Teachers with advanced degrees 51.4% Up from 50.0% 61.1% 63.2% Career/technology completers places N/A N/A	Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.6%	Down from 1.3%	1.2%	0.9%		
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship 25.0% Up from 22.3% 28.7% 30.1%	Enrolled in AP/IB programs	3.1%	Down from 3.2%	5.8%	13.4%		
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 2.9% No Change 5.6% 2.7% Down from 397 283 395 Students participating in work-based experiences 2.5% Down from 44.6% 4.8% 7.4% Up from 74.6% 81.3% 84.0% No Change 97.5% 98.4% Teachers (n=37) Teachers with advanced degrees 51.4% Up from 50.0% 61.1% 63.2% Continuing contract teachers N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV Teachers returning from previous year 75.3% Up from 74.1% 93.0% 86.6% Teacher attendance rate N/R N/R N/R 94.9% 95.2% Average teacher salary* \$47,138 Down 3.1% \$45,372 \$47,326 Professional development days/teacher 12.4 days Down from 13.5 days 10.4 days 9.7 days School Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 28.1 to 1 Up from 27.1 to 1 23.5 to 1 27.1 to 1 Prime instructional time N/R N/R N/R N/R 88.0% 89.4% Dollars spent per pupil** \$7,558 Up 12.4% \$9,090 \$7,708 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.7% Up from 59.0% 55.2% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.9% Up from 59.0% 55.2% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.9% Up from 82.4% 96.6% 97.8% Character development program Excellent Up from Average N/A Pood Good Modern language program assessment Average N/A	Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	20.2%	50.9%		
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 2.9% No Change 5.6% 2.7% Enrollment in career/technology courses 366 Down from 397 283 395 Students participating in work-based experiences 2.5% Down from 44.6% 4.8% 7.4% Career/technology students attaining technical skills 76.5% Up from 74.6% 81.3% 84.0% Career/technology completers placed 100.0% No Change 97.5% 98.4% Teachers (n=37) Teachers with advanced degrees 51.4% Up from 50.0% 61.1% 63.2% Continuing contract teachers N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV Teacher sturning from previous year 75.3% Up from 74.1% 83.0% 86.6% Teacher attendance rate N/R N/R N/R 94.9% 95.2% Average teacher salary* \$47,138 Down 3.1% \$45,372 \$47,326 Professional development days/teacher 12.4 days Down from 13.5 days 10.4 days 9.7 days <td <="" colspan="2" td=""><td>Eligible for LIFE Scholarship</td><td>25.0%</td><td>Up from 22.3%</td><td>28.7%</td><td>30.1%</td></td>	<td>Eligible for LIFE Scholarship</td> <td>25.0%</td> <td>Up from 22.3%</td> <td>28.7%</td> <td>30.1%</td>		Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	25.0%	Up from 22.3%	28.7%	30.1%
2.9% No Change 5.6% 2.7% Second Process 2.9% No Change 5.6% 2.7% 2.7% 2.9% No Change 5.6% 2.7% 2.7% 2.9% No Change 5.6% 2.7% 2.9%	Annual dropout rate	1.5%	Down from 2.2%	2.3%	2.3%		
Students participating in work-based experiences 2.5% Down from 44.6% 4.8% 7.4% Career/technology students attaining technical skills 76.5% Up from 74.6% 81.3% 84.0% Career/technology completers placed 100.0% No Change 97.5% 98.4% Teachers (n=37) Teachers with advanced degrees 51.4% Up from 50.0% 61.1% 63.2% Continuing contract teachers N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV Teachers returning from previous year 75.3% Up from 74.1% 83.0% 86.6% Teacher attendance rate N/R N/R N/R 94.9% 95.2% Average teacher salary* \$47,138 Down 3.1% \$45,372 \$47,326 Professional development days/teacher 12.4 days Down from 13.5 days 10.4 days 9.7 days School Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 1.0 2.0 4.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 28.1 to 1 Up from 27.1 to 1 23.5 to 1 27.1 to 1	Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	2.9%	No Change	5.6%	2.7%		
Career/technology students attaining technical skills 76.5% Up from 74.6% 81.3% 84.0% Career/technology completers placed 100.0% No Change 97.5% 98.4% Teachers (n=37) Teachers with advanced degrees 51.4% Up from 50.0% 61.1% 63.2% Continuing contract teachers N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV Teachers returning from previous year 75.3% Up from 74.1% 83.0% 86.6% Teacher attendance rate N/R N/R N/R 94.9% 95.2% Average teacher salary* \$47,138 Down 3.1% \$45,372 \$47,326 Professional development days/teacher 12.4 days Down from 13.5 days 10.4 days 9.7 days School Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 1.0 2.0 4.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 28.1 to 1 Up from 27.1 to 1 23.5 to 1 27.1 to 1 Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 27.1 to 1 23.5 to 1 27.1 to 1 Principal's yea	Enrollment in career/technology courses	366	Down from 397	283	395		
Career/technology completers placed 100.0% No Change 97.5% 98.4% Teachers (n=37) Teachers with advanced degrees 51.4% Up from 50.0% 61.1% 63.2% Continuing contract teachers N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV Teachers returning from previous year 75.3% Up from 74.1% 83.0% 86.6% Teacher attendance rate N/R N/R 94.9% 95.2% Average teacher salary* \$47,138 Down 3.1% \$45,372 \$47,326 Professional development days/teacher 12.4 days Down from 13.5 days 10.4 days 9.7 days School Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 1.0 2.0 4.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 28.1 to 1 Up from 27.1 to 1 23.5 to 1 27.1 to 1 Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 27.1 to 1 23.5 to 1 27.1 to 1 Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 27.1 to 1 23.5 to 1 27.1 to 1 Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 59.0% <td>Students participating in work-based experiences</td> <td>2.5%</td> <td>Down from 44.6%</td> <td>4.8%</td> <td>7.4%</td>	Students participating in work-based experiences	2.5%	Down from 44.6%	4.8%	7.4%		
Teachers (n=37) Teachers with advanced degrees 51.4% Up from 50.0% 61.1% 63.2% Continuing contract teachers N/AV N/A <	Career/technology students attaining technical skills	76.5%	Up from 74.6%	81.3%	84.0%		
Teachers with advanced degrees 51.4% Up from 50.0% 61.1% 63.2% Continuing contract teachers N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV Teachers returning from previous year 75.3% Up from 74.1% 83.0% 86.6% Teacher attendance rate N/R N/R N/R 94.9% 95.2% Average teacher salary* \$47,138 Down 3.1% \$45,372 \$47,326 Professional development days/teacher 12.4 days Down from 13.5 days 10.4 days 9.7 days School Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 1.0 2.0 4.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 28.1 to 1 Up from 27.1 to 1 23.5 to 1 27.1 to 1 Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 27.1 to 1 23.5 to 1 27.1 to 1 Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 27.1 to 1 23.5 to 1 27.1 to 1 Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 27.1 to 1 23.5 to 1 27.1 to 1 Principal's years at school 3.0 N/R	Career/technology completers placed	100.0%	No Change	97.5%	98.4%		
Continuing contract teachers N/AV 86.6% 97.2% 20 Up from 74.1% 83.0% 89.47,326 97.7 days 97.7 days<	Teachers (n=37)						
Teachers returning from previous year 75.3% Up from 74.1% 83.0% 86.6% Teacher attendance rate N/R N/R 94.9% 95.2% Average teacher salary* \$47,138 Down 3.1% \$45,372 \$47,326 Professional development days/teacher 12.4 days Down from 13.5 days 10.4 days 9.7 days School Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 1.0 2.0 4.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 28.1 to 1 Up from 27.1 to 1 23.5 to 1 27.1 to 1 Prine instructional time N/R N/R N/R 88.0% 89.4% Dollars spent per pupil** \$7,558 Up 12.4% \$9,090 \$7,708 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.7% Up from 59.0% 55.2% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.9% Up from 61.4% 58.5% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Good No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 85.1% Up from	Teachers with advanced degrees	51.4%	Up from 50.0%	61.1%	63.2%		
Teacher attendance rate N/R N/R 94.9% 95.2% Average teacher salary* \$47,138 Down 3.1% \$45,372 \$47,326 Professional development days/teacher 12.4 days Down from 13.5 days 10.4 days 9.7 days School Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 1.0 2.0 4.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 28.1 to 1 Up from 27.1 to 1 23.5 to 1 27.1 to 1 Prime instructional time N/R N/R N/R 88.0% 89.4% Dollars spent per pupil** \$7,558 Up 12.4% \$9,090 \$7,708 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.7% Up from 59.0% 55.2% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.9% Up from 61.4% 58.5% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Good No Change Good Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 85.1% Up from Average Good	Continuing contract teachers	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV		
Average teacher salary* \$47,138 Down 3.1% \$45,372 \$47,326 Professional development days/teacher 12.4 days Down from 13.5 days 10.4 days 9.7 days School Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 1.0 2.0 4.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 28.1 to 1 Up from 27.1 to 1 23.5 to 1 27.1 to 1 Prime instructional time N/R N/R 88.0% 89.4% Dollars spent per pupil** \$7,558 Up 12.4% \$9,090 \$7,708 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.7% Up from 59.0% 55.2% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.9% Up from 61.4% 58.5% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Good No Change Good Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 85.1% Up from 82.4% 96.6% 97.8% Character development program Excellent Up from Average Good Good Modern language program assessment Average N/A	Teachers returning from previous year	75.3%	Up from 74.1%	83.0%	86.6%		
Professional development days/teacher 12.4 days Down from 13.5 days 10.4 days 9.7 days School Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 1.0 2.0 4.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 28.1 to 1 Up from 27.1 to 1 23.5 to 1 27.1 to 1 Prime instructional time N/R N/R 88.0% 89.4% Dollars spent per pupil** \$7,558 Up 12.4% \$9,090 \$7,708 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.7% Up from 59.0% 55.2% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.9% Up from 61.4% 58.5% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Good No Change Good Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 85.1% Up from 82.4% 96.6% 97.8% Character development program Excellent Up from Average Good Good Modern language program assessment Average N/A Good Good <td>Teacher attendance rate</td> <td>N/R</td> <td>N/R</td> <td>94.9%</td> <td>95.2%</td>	Teacher attendance rate	N/R	N/R	94.9%	95.2%		
School Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 1.0 2.0 4.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 28.1 to 1 Up from 27.1 to 1 23.5 to 1 27.1 to 1 Prime instructional time N/R N/R 88.0% 89.4% Dollars spent per pupil** \$7,558 Up 12.4% \$9,090 \$7,708 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.7% Up from 59.0% 55.2% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.9% Up from 61.4% 58.5% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Good No Change Good Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 85.1% Up from 82.4% 96.6% 97.8% Character development program Excellent Up from Average Good Good Modern language program assessment Average N/A Good Good	Average teacher salary*	\$47,138	Down 3.1%	\$45,372	\$47,326		
Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 1.0 2.0 4.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 28.1 to 1 Up from 27.1 to 1 23.5 to 1 27.1 to 1 Prime instructional time N/R N/R N/R 88.0% 89.4% Dollars spent per pupil** \$7,558 Up 12.4% \$9,090 \$7,708 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.7% Up from 59.0% 55.2% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.9% Up from 61.4% 58.5% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Good No Change Good Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 85.1% Up from 82.4% 96.6% 97.8% Character development program Excellent Up from Average Good Good Modern language program assessment Average N/A Good Good	Professional development days/teacher	12.4 days	Down from 13.5 days	10.4 days	9.7 days		
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 28.1 to 1 Up from 27.1 to 1 23.5 to 1 27.1 to 1 Prime instructional time N/R N/R 88.0% 89.4% Dollars spent per pupil** \$7,558 Up 12.4% \$9,090 \$7,708 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.7% Up from 59.0% 55.2% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.9% Up from 61.4% 58.5% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Good No Change Good Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 85.1% Up from 82.4% 96.6% 97.8% Character development program Excellent Up from Average Good Good Modern language program assessment Average N/A Good Good	School						
Prime instructional time N/R N/R 88.0% 89.4% Dollars spent per pupil** \$7,558 Up 12.4% \$9,090 \$7,708 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.7% Up from 59.0% 55.2% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.9% Up from 61.4% 58.5% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Good No Change Good Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 85.1% Up from 82.4% 96.6% 97.8% Character development program Excellent Up from Average Good Good Modern language program assessment Average N/A Good Good	Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	2.0	4.0		
Dollars spent per pupil** \$7,558 Up 12.4% \$9,090 \$7,708 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.7% Up from 59.0% 55.2% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.9% Up from 61.4% 58.5% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Good No Change Good Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 85.1% Up from 82.4% 96.6% 97.8% Character development program Excellent Up from Average Good Good Modern language program assessment Average N/A Good Good	Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	28.1 to 1	Up from 27.1 to 1	23.5 to 1	27.1 to 1		
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.7% Up from 59.0% 55.2% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.9% Up from 61.4% 58.5% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Good No Change Good Excellent Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 85.1% Up from 82.4% 96.6% 97.8% Character development program Excellent Up from Average Good Good Modern language program assessment Average N/A Good Good	Prime instructional time	N/R	N/R	88.0%	89.4%		
Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.9% Up from 61.4% 58.5% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Good No Change Yes No Change Yes Parents attending conferences 85.1% Up from 82.4% 96.6% 97.8% Character development program Excellent Up from Average N/A Good Good	Dollars spent per pupil**	\$7,558	Up 12.4%	\$9,090	\$7,708		
Opportunities in the arts Good No Change Good Excellent Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 85.1% Up from 82.4% 96.6% 97.8% Character development program Excellent Up from Average Good Good Modern language program assessment Average N/A Good Good	Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	59.7%	Up from 59.0%	55.2%	57.1%		
SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 85.1% Up from 82.4% 96.6% 97.8% Character development program Excellent Up from Average Good Good Modern language program assessment Average N/A Good	Percent of expenditures for instruction**	61.9%	Up from 61.4%	58.5%	59.6%		
Parents attending conferences 85.1% Up from 82.4% 96.6% 97.8% Character development program Excellent Up from Average Good Good Modern language program assessment Average N/A Good Good	Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Excellent		
Character development program Excellent Up from Average Good Good Modern language program assessment Average N/A Good Good	SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes		
Modern language program assessment Average N/A Good Good	Parents attending conferences	85.1%	Up from 82.4%	96.6%	97.8%		
	Character development program	Excellent	Up from Average	Good	Good		
Classical language program assessment N/A N/A N/A Average	Modern language program assessment	Average	N/A	Good	Good		
	Classical language program assessment	N/A	N/A	N/A	Average		

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Performance By Student Groups

		HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2012		End of Course Tests Passage Rate		On-time Graduation Rate, 2012	
	n	%	t	%	n	%	
All Students	149	79.9%	386	28.8%	202	62.4%	
Gender							
Male	79	74.7%	205	25.9%	111	55.0%	
Female	70	85.7%	181	32.0%	91	71.4%	
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	10	80.0%	61	52.5%	21	33.3%	
African American	127	78.7%	288	23.3%	161	64.6%	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	11	90.9%	36	30.6%	19	73.7%	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disability Status							
Disabled	16	31.3%	40	7.5%	18	33.3%	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	31	29.0%	16	75.0%	
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	104	81.7%	288	24.3%	134	63.4%	

NOTE: n=number of students on which percentage is calculated; t=number of tests taken.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Ridgeland High School, in order to improve upon the concept of a well-rounded student...We have implemented a successful balance of academics, interpersonal relationships, and development. This year, we have achieved the PBIS Blue Ribbon status. The administration and teachers at Ridgeland High School are enthusiastic, conscientious, and determined to help our students enhance their ability to succeed in a globally competitive world.

We are pleased to report that there were significant academic gains made in the 2011- 2012 school year. All of the goals were met in the English Language Arts category. We are diligently trying to maintain our academic achievements. While there were also gains made in the Mathematics category, we did not meet established progress goals.

Here at RHS, initial barriers that have inhibited our academic progress were literacy and numeracy. In order to confront these difficulties, our staff participated in multiple professional development opportunities. Strategies used were Explicit Direct Instruction, and the High Schools That Work comprehensive reform initiative. These focused efforts supported the success of our students as reflected in our achievement rating and in meeting the challenge of serving struggling readers. We have made significant progress in closing the achievement gap.

Throughout the school year, Ridgeland High School students participated in a number of endeavors. Our Fine Arts Department received many accolades in visual arts, chorus and band. Our Girls Varsity Basketball Team was Region 1 Champions. Our Boys' Track team advanced to State Finals and received a second place recognition.

In the 2011-2012 school year, Ridgeland High Students graduating class earned \$1.4 million in scholarships. Thirty-four students earned Life Scholarships.

Ridgeland High School is only one of many instructional gateways through which our students will pass. We recognize the students' diverse abilities, interests, and talents. By working with stakeholders at all levels, we will ensure that all students will achieve success - within our walls and beyond.

Cathy Morgan, School Improvement Council Chair Cassandra Jennings, Principal

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	32	60	16
Percent satisfied with learning environment	68.8%	47.5%	73.3%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	81.3%	58.3%	75.0%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	50.0%	70.0%	93.8%

^{*} Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade eleven, only the highest grade was included.

ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System

In July 2012, the South Carolina Department of Education was granted a waiver from several accountability requirements of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). This waiver allowed SC to replace the former pass/fail system with one that utilizes more of the statewide assessments already in place and combine these subject area results with graduation rate (in high schools) to determine if each school met the target or made progress toward the target. This analysis results in a letter grade for the school rather than the pass/fail system of previous years. For a detailed review of the matrix for each school and districts that determined the letter grade, please use the following link: http://ed.sc.gov/data/esea/ or request this information from your child's district or school.

Overall Weighted Points Total	39.9
Overall Grade Conversion	F

Index Score	Grade	Description
90-100	Α	Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations.
80-89.9	В	Performance exceeds the state's expectations.
70-79.9	С	Performance meets the state's expectations.
60-69.9	D	Performance does not meet the state's expectations.
Less than 60	F	Performance is substantially below the state's expectations

Accountability Indicator for Title I School			
	A accumtability	/ Indiantar for	Title I Cabaala

Ridgeland High School school has been designated as a:

	Title I Reward School for Performance - among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year.
	Title I Reward School for Progress – one of the schools with substantial progress in student subgroups.
	Title I Focus School – one of the schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups.
	Title I Priority School – one of the 5% lowest performing Title I schools.
	Title I School – does not qualify as Reward, Focus or Priority School.
\checkmark	Non-Title I School – therefore the designations above are not applicable.

Teacher Quality Data								
		Our District	t State					
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qua	N/A	2.6%						
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qua	9.4%	5.1%						
	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective					
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	21.9%	0.0%	No					

Ridgeland High School 11/14/12-2701013							
Performance By Group							
Subgroups	ELA Mean	Math Mean	Science Mean	Social Studies Mean	ELA % Tested	Math % Tested	Graduation Rate
Grades 9-12							
All Students	216	208	66	64	96.3	95.3	76.5
Male	211	206	69	65	94.3	94.3	69.1
Female	220	211	65	63	98.1	96.3	82.8
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	69.2
African American	214	207	65	63	96.5	96.5	78.9
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	63.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	55.6
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Subsidized meals	214	206	66	63	98.5	96.9	76.9
Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)	223	220	76	71	95.0	95	73.1

Two-Year HSAP Trend Data								
	School Year	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient or Advanced*
English/Language Arts								
All Students	2011	132	94.7	23.7	41.2	24.6	10.5	49.1
Air Students	2012	107	96.3	24.2	52.6	15.8	7.4	41.1
Mathematics								
All Students	2011	132	93.9	46.5	30.7	15.8	7.0	29.8
All Students	2012	107	95.3	45.3	40.0	10.5	4.2	28.4